Michigan Deptartment of Treasury 496 (2-04)

	ting F		cedures	Rep	ort						
	emment Type			✓ Other	Local Government		nsportation	Authority	County	on	
Audit Date 9/30/04			Opinion Dat 11/12/04			Date Account 12/28/04	ant Report Submit	ted to State:			
accordan <i>Financial</i>	ce with the Statement	ie St	nancial statement tatements of the Counties and L	e Govern	nmental Accou	inting Stand	lards Board (GASB) and th	e Uniform I	Reportii	
We affirm		liad v	with the <i>Bulletin</i>	for the Ai	udits of Local II	Inits of Gov	emment in Mic	hinan as revise	ad.		
	•							mgan ao 10110c			
We furthe		e foll	olic accountants owing. "Yes" resendations				financial state	ments, includir	ng the notes,	, or in th	e report of
			icable box for ea	ach item t	below.						
Yes	√ No	• •	Certain compor			s of the loca	al unit are excl	uded from the	financial sta	tement	s.
Yes	✓ No	2.	There are accu 275 of 1980).	ımulated	deficits in one	or more of	this unit's un	reserved fund	balances/ret	ained e	amings (P.A
Yes	✓ No	3.	There are insta amended).	ances of	non-compliand	ce with the	Uniform Acco	ounting and Bu	dgeting Act	(P.A. 2	? of 1968, as
Yes	✓ No	4.	The local unit requirements, o						he Municipa	al Finar	nce Act or its
Yes	✓ No	5.	The local unit las amended [M						requiremen	ts. (P.A	20 of 1943
Yes	√ No	6.	The local unit h	as been o	delinquent in di	istributing ta	x revenues th	at were collecte	ed for anothe	er taxin	g unit.
Yes	√ No	7.	The local unit pension benefit credits are more	ts (norma	al costs) in the	current year	r. If the plan	is more than 1	00% funded	and th	
Yes	✓ No	8.	The local unit (MCL 129.241)		dit cards and	has not ad	opted an app	licable policy a	s required l	by P.A.	266 of 199
Yes	✓ No	9.	The local unit h	as not ad	dopted an inves	stment polic	y as required t	oy P.A. 196 of 1	1997 (MCL 1	29.95).	
We have	enclosed	d the	following:					Enclosed	To Be Forward		Not Required
The lette	er of comm	ents	and recommend	dations.				✓			
Reports	on individu	ual fe	ederal financial a	ssistance	e programs (pro	ogram audit	s).	✓	-		<u></u>
Single A	udit Repor	ts (A	SLGU).					✓			
Baird,		•	irm Name) hop, P.C.								
Street Add	^{tress} . Harris S	Stree	et .				City Cadillac		State MI	ZIP 4960	1
Accountar	nt Signature					L			Date	1	

LUDINGTON, MICHIGAN

SEPTEMBER 30, 2004

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGES
Independent Auditors' Report	i-ii
Management's Discussion and Analysis	iii-viii
Comparative Statement of Net Assets	1-2
Comparative Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes	
In Net Assets	3
Comparative Statement of Cash Flows	4-5
Notes to Financial Statements	6-14
SUPPORTING SCHEDULES	
Comparative Schedule of Operating Revenues	15
Schedule of Operating Expenses	16-17
Comparative Schedule of Nonoperating Revenues	18
Schedule of Federal and State Grants	19-20
STATISTICAL TABLES	
Schedule of Expenses by Contract and General Operations	21
Net Eligible Costs Computations of General Operations	22
Schedule of Mileage Data (Unaudited)	23
Schedule of Vehicle Hours and Passengers (Unaudited)	24
SINGLE AUDIT	
Report on Compliance and on Internal Control over Financial Reporting	
Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance	
With Government Auditing Standards	25-26
Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major	25-20
Program and on Internal Control over Compliance in Accordance with	
OMB Circular A-133	27-28
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	29
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	30
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	31
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	32-33
	J <u>~</u> JJ

FAX: 231-775-9749

M. WAYNE BEATTIE, C.P.A.
1902 - 1990

JACK H. BAIRD, C.P.A.

Baird, Cotter and Bishop, P.C.

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

JOHN H. BISHOP, C.P.A.

ROBERT V. BEATTIE, C.P.A.

DOUGLAS P. McMULLEN, C.P.A.

JOHN F. TAYLOR, C.P.A.

STEVEN C. ARENDS, C.P.A.

SCOTT A. HUNTER, C.P.A.

JONATHAN E. DAMHOF, C.P.A.

MICHAEL D. COOL, C.P.A.

November 12, 2004

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Board of Directors
Ludington Mass Transportation Authority
Ludington, Michigan

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the business-type activities of the Ludington Mass Transportation Authority, as of and for the year ended September 30, 2004 which collectively comprise the Authority's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Authority's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the business-type activities of the Ludington Mass Transportation Authority, as of September 30, 2004, and the respective changes in financial position and cash flows, thereof for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As described in Note 1, the Authority has implemented a new financial reporting model, as required by the provisions of GASB Statement No. 34, Basic Financial Statements - and Management's Discussion and Analysis - for State and Local Governments, as of October 1, 2003.

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated November 12, 2004, on our consideration of the Ludington Mass Transportation Authority's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

The management's discussion and analysis is not a required part of the financial statements but is supplementary information required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the supplementary information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

Our audit was made for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements that collectively comprise the Ludington Mass Transportation Authority, Ludington, Michigan basic financial statements. The accompanying information listed as supporting schedules and statistical tables in the Table of Contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements of the Ludington Mass Transportation Authority. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments and Non-Profit Organizations, and is also not a required part of the basic financial statements of the Ludington Mass Transportation Authority. Such information, except for that portion marked "unaudited", on which we express no opinion, has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements, taken as a whole.

BAIRD, COTTER AND BISHOP, P.C.

Bain Cotter: Bishop PC

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2004

This section of the Authority's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report presents management's overview and analysis of the Authority's financial performance for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2004. This section should be read in conjunction with the financial statements which follow this section.

Financial Highlights

- The assets of the Authority exceeded its liabilities at September 30, 2004, by \$3,921,790. Of this amount, \$908,478 represents net assets which are not invested in capital assets.
- The Authority's total net assets increased by \$1,654,553. This increase results mainly from capital grants received to build the new facility.
- The Authority remained free of long-term debt during the period.

Overview of the Financial Statements

This discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to the Authority's basic financial statements. The notes to the financial statements also contain more detail on some of the information presented in the financial statements. The financial statements of the Authority report information about the Authority using accounting methods similar to those used by private sector companies. These statements offer short- and long-term financial information about its activities. The Statement of Net Assets presents information on all of the Authority's assets and liabilities, with the difference between the two reported as net assets. Over time, increases and decreases in net assets may serve as a useful indicator of whether the financial position of the Authority is improving or deteriorating. The Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets presents information showing how the Authority's net assets changed during the fiscal year. All changes in net assets are reported as soon as the underlying event giving rise to the change occurs, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Thus, revenues and expenses are recorded in this statement for some items that will only result in cash flows in future fiscal periods.

Financial Analysis

For the year ended September 30, 2004, assets exceeded liabilities by \$3,921,790. Transit is a capital-intensive enterprise, and approximately 77% of the net assets are invested in capital assets. The following is a summary of the Authority's net assets.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2004

Summary Statement of Net Assets

	2004		2003
Assets:	 		
Current Assets	\$ 1,504,857	\$	1,462,759
Capital Assets (Net)	3,013,313		1,039,145
Total Assets	\$ 4,518,170	\$	2,501,904
Liabilities:			
Current Liabilities	\$ 596,380	\$	234,667
Net Assets:			
Invested in Capital Assets	3,013,312		1,039,145
Unrestricted	 908,478		1,228,092
Total Liabilities and Net Assets	\$ 4,518,170	\$_	2,501,904

The Authority's net assets increased by \$1,654,553 in 2004. The following is a summary Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets which show how the \$1,654,553 increase in net assets occurred. Also shown is the change for the year ended September 30, 2003.

Summary Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets

-			2004	2003
_	Operating Revenue	\$	214,263	\$ 199,578
	Operating Expenses	_	1,422,778	 1,291,978
	Operating Loss	\$_	(1,208,515)	\$ (1,092,400)
_	Taxes Other Nonoperating Revenue	\$	177,322 830,199	\$ 172,010 773,280
	Total Nonoperating Revenue	\$_	1,007,521	\$ 945,290
_	Income (Loss) Before Capital Contributions		(200,994)	(147,110)
N	Capital Grants		1,855,547	 106,300
_	Change in Net Assets	\$ _	1,654,553	\$ (40,810)
-				

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2004

Operating Revenues

The following table shows 2004 revenue compared to 2003:

_	REVENUES	2004 AMOUNT	2004 PERCENT OF TOTAL	2003 AMOUNT	2003 PERCENT OF TOTAL	-	INCREASE (DECREASE) FROM 2003
	Operating Revenue \$ Interest Income Property Tax Federal Assistance State Assistance Maintenance Service Revenues Bus Advertising Gain on Sale of Assets	214,263 22,018 177,322 174,068 622,412 11,701 0	17.54 % 1.80 14.51 14.25 50.94 0.96 0.00	\$ 199,578 34,807 172,010 214,809 506,078 11,422 162 6,002	17.43 % 3.04 15.03 18.76 44.21 1.00 0.01 0.52	\$	14,685 (12,789) 5,312 (40,741) 116,334 279 (162) (6,002)
-	Total Revenue \$ CAPITAL CONTRIBUTIONS	1,221,784	100.00 %	\$	100.00 %	\$ _	76,916
_	State	1,484,438 371,109	80.00 % 20.00	\$ 69,258 37,042	65.00 % 35.00	\$	1,415,180 334,067
_	\$ <u></u>	1,855,547	100.00 %	\$106,300	100.00 %	\$ _	1,749,247

The federal reimbursement rate remained at 10.95% for eligible expenditures. The State reimbursement rate, however, dropped from 43.800078503% to 42.236619648%. The actual State operating assistance revenue did increase for fiscal year 2003-04, but not in proportion to the increase in eligible expenditures which contributed to the larger decrease in income before capital contributions as illustrated in the financial statements. The Authority built a new facility which was primarily funded by capital grants. Capital grants amounting to \$1,855,547 assisted the Authority in building the facility. The increased capital grants allowed the Authority to show a \$1,654,553 increase in net assets.

Operating Expenses

- The Authority's expenses may be reviewed in two formats:
 - Operating Expense by Department The department describes the major function areas of the Authority and includes:

Operations - Responsible for all on-street services, including operators, dispatchers and schedulers.

Maintenance - Responsible for providing vehicles (including fuel, parts and cleaning) and facilities (upkeep, utilities and rent).

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2004

Administration - All other functions including executive direction, planning, marketing, information systems, purchasing and finance.

Depreciation - Estimated pro-ration of the cost of capital assets over the useful life of the asset.

2004

The following table shows the expenses for 2004 compared to 2003 by department:

		2004		2003		
		PERCENT		PERCENT		INCREASE
	2004	OF	2003	OF	(DECREASE)
<u>DEPARTMENT</u>	AMOUNT	TOTAL	AMOUNT	TOTAL		FROM 2003
Operations	\$ 802,633	56.41 % \$	719,538	55.69 %	\$	83,095
Maintenance	127,480	8.96	120,301	9.31	•	7,179
Administration	339,624	23.87	288,012	22.29		51,612
Depreciation	153,041_	10.76	164,127	12.71	_	(11,086)
Total Expenses	\$ <u>1,422,778</u>	100.00 % \$	1,291,978	100.00 %	\$_	130,800

- Operations increased because wages were up. Fuel costs have continued to rise which also contributed to the increase. Insurance costs have also risen sharply.
- Maintenance costs increased in large part due to upkeep needed to keep the Authority's buses in good repair.
- Administration costs increased because wages were up also in this category. Insurance costs allocated to administration costs also have risen.

Operating Expense by Object - The object is the classification of expenses by type of item. The following table shows expenses for 2004 compared to 2003 by object:

_	<u>OBJECT</u>	_1	2004 AMOUNT	PER	COO4 CCENT OF DTAL	2003 AMOUNT	2003 PERCENT OF TOTAL		INCREASE (DECREASE) FROM 2003
	Wages and Benefits	\$	846,444		59.49 %	\$ 780,984	60.45 %	\$	65,460
	Services		126,381		8.88	97,200	7.52	•	29,181
_	Supplies and Materials		94,575		6.65	78,533	6.08		16,042
	Utilities	•	22,747		1.60	21,028	1.63		1,719
	Casualty and Liability		88,767		6.24	62,245	4.82		26,522
	Miscellaneous		13,813		0.97	11,773	0.91		2,040
	Leases and Rentals		70,152		4.93	76,088	5.89		(5,936)
	Property Taxes		6,858		0.48	0	0.00		6,858
	Depreciation	_	153,041	-	10.76	164,127	12.70		(11,086)
_	Total	\$	1,422,778		100.00 %	\$ 1,291,978	100.00 %	\$	130,800

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2004

- Wages and benefits increased as a result of higher wage and benefit rates.
- Services expenses increased due mainly to increased spending on maintenance and repairs.
- Supplies expense increased as more was spent on fuel and on tire and operating supplies this year.
- Casualty and liability costs increased because of higher insurance premiums and other claims.

Capital Assets

The Authority's investment in capital assets as of September 30, 2004, amounted to \$3,013,313 net of accumulated depreciation. Capital assets consist of buses, land, buildings, other vehicles, bus and garage equipment and office equipment. Major capital asset acquisitions during 2004 included the following:

- Operating Facility (\$2,258,884).
- Radio equipment (\$61,251).
- Various shop equipment (\$17,437).
- Office furniture (\$35,396).

Additional information on the Authority's capital assets can be found in the notes to the financial statements.

Economic Factors and Future Outlook

- With the current economic condition in the country and especially the State of Michigan, LMTA management anticipates the following:
 - Federal Operating will stay consistent at 10.95 percent of eligible operating.
 - Federal Capital Grants will require a 5 or 10 percent local match due to the State economy continuing in the depressed condition.
 - State operating funds will continue to shrink in fiscal year 2006 due to the State's economy.
 - The LMTA will be forced to cut service and fringe benefits due to State cuts.

LUDINGTON MASS TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2004

- As with other employers, the LMTA continues to face increased employee benefit costs. In 2005, the LMTA will face a 19% increase in health insurance premiums. It is safe to anticipate another increase in 2006. To address the increases, the LMTA will drop some coverages by purchasing a cheaper health care plan. Dental and optical insurance may be dropped and a larger deductible plan may be implemented to cut costs. The LMTA does not anticipate cutting other benefits at this time.
- The LMTA has seen a large increase in property and casualty insurance coverage in the past two years. The LMTA participates in the Michigan Transit Pool to save on vehicles insurance costs. Unfortunately, pool members must share the cost of large claims from the other members. In 2002 and 2003, there has been above average large claims that required retrospective billings to all members. These claims happen and cannot be predicted. Due to this, premiums cannot be stabilized to account for catastrophic claims. Since 9/11, excess insurance rates have soared. This has increased the rates MTP members pay since we purchase excess insurance for over \$1,000,000. To combat the increased excess insurance costs, the MTP now self insures for \$2,000,000 and purchases \$2,000,000 in excess insurance. The future risk shared losses increases since the MTP now carries the first \$2,000,000 in coverage. The LMTA is not able to stabilize future premium costs due to the risk factor of all pool members.

Request for Information

This financial report is designed to provide a general overview of the Authority's finances for all those who have an interest in this agency's finances. Questions concerning any of the information presented in this report or requests for additional financial information should be addressed to the Ludington Mass Transportation Authority, 5545 W. Carr Street, Ludington, MI 49431.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS SEPTEMBER 30,

	AGGETTG		2004	 2003
CURRENT ASSETS	ASSETS			
Cash Accounts Receivable Due from Other Governments Prepaid Expense Accrued Interest Receivable Inventory		\$	939,651 16,878 496,125 39,589 854 11,760	\$ 1,318,540 13,521 84,440 36,129 944 9,185
Total Current Assets		\$	1,504,857	\$ 1,462,759
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT Land and Building Construction in Progress Buses Bus and Garage Equipment Office Furniture and Equipment		\$	2,359,855 0 1,332,325 74,966 127,398	\$ 100,971 245,759 1,296,247 69,651 133,297
Less Accumulated Depreciation Net Property and Equipment		\$ \$	3,894,544 881,231 3,013,313	\$ 1,845,925 806,780 1,039,145
TOTAL ASSETS		\$_	4,518,170	\$ 2,501,904

	LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS	 2004		2003
LIABILITIES Accounts Payable Accrued Liabilities		\$ 507,050	\$	31,927
Accrued Vacation Payroll Withholdings Salaries and Wages		2,289 1,870		1,067 1,845
Due to Other Governments Deferred Revenue		9,495 35,676 40,000		4,234 136,962 58,632
Total Liabilities		\$ 596,380	\$	234,667
NET ASSETS				
Invested in Capital Assets Unrestricted		\$ 3,013,312 908,478	\$	1,039,145 1,228,092
Total Net Assets		\$ 3,921,790	\$	2,267,237
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND N	IET ASSETS	\$ 4,518,170	\$	2,501,904

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENSES AND CHANGES IN NET ASSETS FOR THE YEARS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,

			2004	 2003
-	OPERATING REVENUES	\$	214,263	\$ 199,578
_	OPERATING EXPENSES		1,422,778	 1,291,978
	Operating Income (Loss)	\$	(1,208,515)	\$ (1,092,400)
-	NONOPERATING REVENUES		1,007,521	945,290
_	Income (Loss) Before Capital Contributions	\$	(200,994)	\$ (147,110)
	CAPITAL CONTRIBUTIONS			
	Federal Financial Assistance State of Michigan	\$	1,484,438 371,109	\$ 69,258 37,042
_	Total Capital Contributions	\$_	1,855,547	\$ 106,300
	Change in Net Assets		1,654,553	(40,810)
	TOTAL NET ASSETS - Beginning of Year	-	2,267,237	 2,308,047
۵	TOTAL NET ASSETS - End of Year	\$_	3,921,790	\$ 2,267,237

LUDINGTON, MICHIGAN

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

FOR THE YEARS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,

	2004	2003
INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS		
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:		
Cash Received from Customers	\$ 210,906	\$ 199,801
Cash Payments to Suppliers for Goods and Services	(596,512)	(582,356)
Cash Payments to Employees for Services	(618,034)	(571,929)
Net Cash Provided (Used) by Operating Activities	\$ (1,003,640)	\$ (954,484)
Cash Flows from Noncapital Financing Activities:		
Tax Levy Proceeds	\$ 158,690	\$ 203,496
Maintenance Service Receipts	11,701	11,422
Bus Advertising	0	162
State Operating Grants Received	492,378	523,276
Federal Operating Grants Received	144,099	234,168
Net Cash Provided by Noncapital Financing Activities	\$ 806,868	\$ 972,524
Cash Flows from Capital and Related Financing Activities:		
Acquisition and Construction of Capital Assets	\$ (1,706,803)	\$ (111,812)
Capital Grants Received	1,502,579	86,903
Proceeds from the Sale of Assets	0	6,002
Net Cash Provided (Used) for Capital and		
Related Financing Activities	\$ (204,224)	\$ (18,907)
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:		
Interest Received	\$ 22,107	\$ 35,579
Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ (378,889)	\$ 34,712
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS - Beginning of Year	1,318,540	1,283,828
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS - End of Year	\$ 939,651	\$ 1,318,540

LUDINGTON, MICHIGAN

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

FOR THE YEARS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,

DECONOR A EXCLASE OF CORE	2004	2003
RECONCILIATION OF OPERATING INCOME		
TO NET CASH PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Operating Income (Loss)	\$ (1,208,515)	\$ (1,092,400)
Adjustments to Reconcile Operating Income		
To Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities		
Depreciation	\$ 153,041	\$ 164,127
(Increase) Decrease in Current Assets	·,-	Ψ 101,127
Accounts Receivable	(3,357)	223
Prepaid Expense	(3,460)	48
Inventory	(2,575)	2,722
Increase (Decrease) in Current Liabilities	(-,- · -)	<i>-,, -2</i>
Accounts Payable	54,718	(31,618)
Accrued Liabilities	6,508	2,414
Total Adjustments	\$ 204,875	\$ 137,916
NET CASH PROVIDED (USED) BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES	\$ (1,003,640)	\$ (954,484)

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SEPTEMBER 30, 2004

I. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The basic financial statements of the Ludington Mass Transportation Authority have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) as applied to government units. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is the accepted standard-setting body for establishing governmental accounting and financial reporting principles. The more significant of the Authority's accounting policies are described below.

In June 1999, the GASB issued Statement No. 34, Basic Financial Statements - and Management's Discussion and Analysis - for State and Local Governments. Certain of the significant changes in the Statement include the following:

- A Management Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) section providing an analysis of the Authority's overall financial position and results of operations.
- Financial statements prepared using full accrual accounting for all of the Authority's activities.
- A change in the fund financial statements to focus on the major funds.

These and other changes are reflected in the accompanying financial statements (including notes to financial statements). The Authority has implemented the provisions of Statement No. 34 effective October 1, 2003.

A. Reporting Entity

The Ludington Mass Transportation Authority is organized as a legal entity pursuant to the Mass Transportation System Authorities Act, Public Act 196. The Transit Authority has the capability to provide public transportation to the general public in the area of Ludington and Scottville, Michigan, and the authority to provide this service throughout Mason County. Even though Ludington Mass Transportation Authority now serves much of Mason County, Ludington Mass Transportation Authority does receive city millage for operations, and both City of Ludington and City of Scottville governing bodies appoint Ludington Mass Transportation Authority Board members. Ludington Mass Transportation Authority's financial statements are not included in either the City of Ludington's or the City of Scottville's audit reports. Only Ludington Mass Transportation Authority's operations are included in this report.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SEPTEMBER 30, 2004

In evaluating how to define the Authority for financial reporting purposes, management has considered all potential component units. The decision to include a potential component unit in the reporting entity was made by applying the criteria set forth in GAAP. The basic - but not the only - criterion for including a potential component unit within the reporting entity is the governing body's ability to exercise oversight responsibility. The most significant manifestation of this ability is financial interdependency. Other manifestations of the ability to exercise oversight responsibility include, but are not limited to, the selection of governing authority, the designation of management, the ability to significantly influence operations, and accountability for fiscal matters. A second criterion used in evaluating potential component units is the scope of public service. Application of this criterion involves considering whether the activity benefits the Authority and/or its citizens, or whether the activity is conducted within the geographic boundaries of the Authority and is generally available to its citizens. A third criterion used to evaluate potential component units for inclusion or exclusion from the reporting entity is the existence of special financing relationships, regardless of whether the Authority is able to exercise oversight responsibilities. Based upon the application of these criteria, there are no associated organizations included in the Authority's reporting entity.

B. Government-wide and Fund Financial Statements

The government-wide financial statements (i.e., the statement of net assets and the statement of activities) report information on all of the non-fiduciary activities of the Authority. The government-wide financial statements categorize primary activities as either governmental or business type. The Authority's activities are classified as business-type activities.

In the government-wide statement of net assets, the business-type activity is reported on a full accrual, economic resource basis, which recognizes as long-term assets and receivables as well as long-term debt and obligations. The Authority's net assets are reported in two parts - invested in capital assets and unrestricted net assets. The Authority does not have any outstanding debt obligations.

This government-wide focus is more on the sustainability of the Authority as an entity and the change in the Authority's net assets resulting from the current year's activities.

C. Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting and Basis of Presentation

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of timing of related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenues in the year for which they are levied. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements imposed by the provider have been met.

The Authority utilizes one enterprise fund to account for its business-type activities.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SEPTEMBER 30, 2004

Private-sector standards of accounting and financial reporting issued prior to December 1, 1989, generally are followed in both the government-wide and proprietary fund financial statements to the extent that those standards do not conflict with or contradict guidance of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. Governments also have the *option* of following subsequent private-sector guidance for their business-type activities and enterprise funds, subject to this same limitation. The government has elected not to follow subsequent private-sector guidance.

Proprietary funds distinguish operating revenues and expenses from nonoperating items. Operating revenues and expenses generally result from providing services and producing and delivering goods in connection with a proprietary fund's principal ongoing operations. The principal operating revenues of the Authority enterprise fund are charges to customers for sales and services. Operating expenses for enterprise funds include the cost of sales and services, administrative expenses, and depreciation on capital assets. All revenues and expenses not meeting this definition are reported as nonoperating revenues and expenses.

Enterprise funds are used to account for those operations that are financed and operated in a manner similar to private business or where the board has decided that the determination of revenues earned, costs incurred and/or net income is necessary for management accountability.

D. Assets, Liabilities and Equity

1. Deposits and Investments

The Authority's cash and cash equivalents are considered to be cash on hand, demand deposits and short-term investments with original maturities of three months or less from date of acquisition.

The Michigan Political Subdivisions Act No. 20, Public Acts of 1943, as amended by Act No. 217, Public Acts of 1982, states the Authority, by resolution, may authorize investment of surplus funds as follows:

- (1) In bonds, securities, and other obligations of the United States or an agency or instrumentality of the United States in which the principal is fully guaranteed by the United States.
- (2) In certificates of deposit, savings accounts, deposit accounts, or depository receipts of a bank, which is a member of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation; or a savings and loan association, which is a member of the Federal Savings and Loan Insurance Corporation; or a credit union, which is insured by the National Credit Union Administration

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SEPTEMBER 30, 2004

- (3) In commercial paper rated at the time of purchase within the 3 highest classifications established by not less than 2 standard rating services and which matures not more than 270 days after the date of purchase. Not more than 50% of any fund may be invested in commercial paper at any time.
- (4) In United States government or Federal agency obligation repurchase agreements.
- (5) In bankers' acceptances of United States banks.
- (6) In mutual funds composed of investment vehicles which are legal for direct investment by local units of government in Michigan.

2. Capital Assets and Depreciation

Capital assets are stated at cost. Contributed property is stated at fair market value at the date of receipt. Costs relating to maintenance and repairs are charged to expense, whereas those for renewals and betterments, when significant in amount, are capitalized.

Depreciation of all exhaustible fixed assets used by an Enterprise Fund is charged as an expense against operations. Accumulated depreciation is reported on the balance sheet. Depreciation has been provided over the estimated useful lives using the straight-line method. The estimated useful lives are as follows:

Buildings 20 years
Equipment 2-10 years
Buses 4-10 years

3. Inventory and Prepaid Items

Inventories of motor vehicle lubricants and parts are valued at the lower of cost or market on a first-in, first-out basis. Office supplies are not included in inventories.

Certain payments to vendors reflect costs applicable to future accounting periods and are recorded as prepaid items.

4. Deferred Revenue

The deferred revenue represents taxes received that are not recognized until the following year.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SEPTEMBER 30, 2004

5. Compensated Absences

The Authority does not allow the carryover of sick and vacation benefits beyond the year earned. For September 30, 2004, however, the Board approved the carryover of vacation benefits for one employee totaling \$2,289.

6. Grants

The Authority receives two distinct types of grants from governmental agencies:

Capital grants are used for capital acquisitions.

Operating grants are used to subsidize day-to-day operations and to meet normal expenses of those operations.

Grant funds used to acquire or construct capital assets are recorded as revenues when the associated capital costs are incurred. Grant funds for operating assistance are recorded as revenues when the associated costs are incurred.

II. DETAILED NOTES ON FUND

A. Property and Equipment

Major classes of property and equipment consist of the following:

	Beginning					Ending
	Balance	 Increases]	Decreases		Balance
Business-Type Activities						-
Capital assets, not being depreciated						
Land	\$ 100,971	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	100,971
Construction in Progress	245,759	 0		245,759	Ψ	100,971
Total capital assets, not being depreciated	\$ 346,730	\$ 0	\$	245,759	\$	100,971
Capital assets, being depreciated						
Buildings	\$ 0	\$ 2,258,884	\$	0	\$:	2,258,884
Buses	1,296,247	61,251		25,173		1,332,325
Bus and Garage Equipment	69,651	17,437		12,122		74,966
Office Equipment	133,297	 35,396		41,295	_	127,398
Total capital assets, being depreciated	\$ 1,499,195	\$ 2,372,968	\$	78,590	\$ 3	3,793,573

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SEPTEMBER 30, 2004

_			Beginning Balance	5	Increases		Ι	Decreases	Ending Balance
	Less accumulated depreciation for: Buildings Buses Bus and Garage Equipment Office Equipment	\$	0 644,115 67,032 95,633	·	0 139,960 2,287 10,794)	\$	0 25,173 12,122 41,295	\$ 0 758,902 57,197 65,132
	Total accumulated depreciation	\$	806,780	\$	153,041		\$	78,590	\$ 881,231
-	Total capital assets, being depreciated, net	\$	692,415	\$	2,219,927		\$	0	\$ 2,912,342
_	Business-type activities capital assets, net	\$	1,039,145	\$	2,219,927		\$	245,759	\$ 3,013,313
	Major classes of property and equipment consis	t of	the followin	g:					
-				AU7	CHASED WITH THORITY FUNDS	F	W CA	CHASED VITH PITAL LANTS	 TOTAL
-	Land and Buildings Buses Bus and Garage Equipment Office Equipment			\$	337,592 10,656 1,247 87,752	\$	-	022,263 321,669 73,719 39,646	\$ 2,359,855 1,332,325 74,966 127,398
_	Less Accumulated Depreciation			\$	437,247	\$	3,4	457,297	\$ 3,894,544

Disposition of assets acquired with Federal and State money require prior approval from the Michigan Department of Transportation.

(49,044)

388,203

(832,187)

\$ 2,625,110

(881,231)

\$_3,013,313

Less Accumulated Depreciation

NET PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SEPTEMBER 30, 2004

B. Receivables

Receivables as of year end for the Authority are as follows:

Receivables	
Interest	\$ 855
Accounts	 16,878
Total Receivables	\$ 17,733

The allowance for doubtful accounts is not considered to be material for disclosure.

C. Property Taxes

The Authority levies a voter-approved property tax for the City of Ludington and City of Scottville. The voters granted the authority to levy up to .9254 of one mill through 2005 for the City of Ludington and up to 1.32 through 2008 for the City of Scottville. The taxes are levied and due July 1, and become delinquent after August 14. The taxes are collected by the local units of government within the County and are periodically remitted to the Authority through the County during the collection period.

D. Deposits and Investments

At year end, the carrying amount of the Authority's deposits was \$939,651 and the bank balance was \$958,652 of which \$200,186 was covered by federal depository insurance and \$758,466 was uninsured and uncollateralized.

E. Contingencies

The State and Federal operating assistance contracts are subject to subsequent audit and adjustment by the State of Michigan. The State audits for fiscal years ended September 30, 2000, 2001, 2002 and 2003, either have not commenced or have not been completed.

F. Risk Management

The Authority is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; and natural disasters for which the Authority carries commercial insurance. Liabilities in excess of insurance coverage, if any, are reported when it is probable that a loss has occurred and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SEPTEMBER 30, 2004

For its property and casualty insurance coverage, the Authority is a participant in a public entity risk pool operated by Michigan Transit Pool which benefits participating transportation authorities. The Authority pays an annual premium for this coverage which provides funds to the Pool to secure specific and excess reinsurance, maintain the Loss Fund, and cover administrative and loss prevention service costs. Should the losses of the Pool, in a given coverage period, exceed the Loss Fund and the aggregate excess reinsurance, the Authority would receive a pro rata assessment for their share of the loss. For the years ended September 30, 2004 and 2003, there was a \$17,152 and \$2,814 retrospective billing. Premiums are expensed as incurred while excess reserve distributions are recognized as a contra-expense in the year received in accordance with Michigan Department of Transportation guidelines.

G. Concentration of Credit Risk

For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2004, the Authority was reimbursed by the State of Michigan for 42.236619648% of their eligible operating expenditures. The percentage is based on budgeted eligible operating expenses for all transits in the state. This percentage will be recalculated after total eligible expenses for all transits are determined based on audited figures.

H. Pension

The Authority has established a Simplified Employee Pension Program for all employees who are at least 21 years of age, received at least \$450 in compensation and have completed one year of service. Ludington Mass Transportation Authority contributes 5% of gross wages of each eligible participant each year. Total pension cost for the years ended September 30, 2004 and 2003 were \$28,663 and \$26,657 respectively. The covered payroll for the year ended September 30, 2004 was approximately \$577,985 and total payroll was \$624,542.

In addition, the Authority has a section 457 plan which allows employees to defer a portion of their wages into individual retirement annuities which are owned by each employee.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SEPTEMBER 30, 2004

I. Operating Lease

The Authority leases a copier under a noncancelable operating lease. The total cost for the lease was \$1,834 for the year ended September 30, 2004. The future minimum lease payments for this lease are as follows:

	\$ 3,510
2007	 270
2006	1,620
Year Ending September 30, 2005	\$ 1,620

In addition to the copier lease, they were leasing the facility for \$6,000 per month through August 2004. The Authority moved its operations to the new facility in September 2004. For the year ended September 30, 2004, total lease expense on the facility was \$66,000.

COMPARATIVE SCHEDULE OF OPERATING REVENUES FOR THE YEARS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,

OPERATING REVENUES	2004	2003
Demand Response (Farebox) Contracted Bus Service	\$ 119,452 94,811	\$ 126,293 73,285
-	\$214,263	\$ 199,578

SCHEDULE OF OPERATING EXPENSES FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2004 WITH COMPARATIVE TOTALS FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2003

	2004								
						GENERAL	TOTAL SYSTEM		
Labor		PERATIONS	N	MAINTENANCE	ADN	MINISTRATION			
·	•								
Operator's Salaries and Wages	\$	317,435	\$	0	\$	0	\$	317,435	
Other Salaries and Wages Fringe Benefits		65,237		60,356		181,514		307,107	
FICA and Unemployment		22.155						•	
Group Insurance		33,175		4,777		14,528		52,480	
Workers' Compensation		80,545		21,881		19,929		122,355	
Other Fringe Benefits		14,433		1,632		448		16,513	
Services		20,269		4,070		6,215		30,554	
Professional		4 2 1 2							
Maintenance		4,312		0		35,042		39,354	
Other Services		1,548		5,008		0		6,556	
Materials and Supplies Consumed		79,984		364		123		80,471	
Fuel and Lubricants		44.400						,	
Tires and Tubes		44,428		281		1,854		46,563	
Other Materials and Supplies		2,857		0		0		2,857	
Utilities Utilities		10,576		28,946		5,633		45,155	
		0		0		22,747		22,747	
Casualty and Liability Costs Miscellaneous Expenses		52,580		0		36,187		88,767	
Advertising/Promotion Media		_						-	
Dues and Subscriptions		0		0		4,398		4,398	
Travel and Meetings		0		0		1,503		1,503	
Leases and Rentals		78		165		7,669		7,912	
Property Taxes		68,318		0		1,834		70,152	
Depreciation		6,858		0		0		6,858	
2 oprociation	-	139,960		2,287		10,794		153,041	
	\$	942,593	\$	129,767	\$	350,418	\$	1,422,778	

	 2003
	TOTAL
_	SYSTEM
	\$ 301,968
-	272,375
	48,477
	111,112
	18,513
	28,539
	40,665
_	8,056
	48,479
-	44,636
	7,618
	26,279
_	21,028
	62,245
-	4,256
	1,925
	5,592
-	76,088
	0
	 164,127
	\$ 1,291,978

COMPARATIVE SCHEDULE OF NONOPERATING REVENUES FOR THE YEARS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,

_	LOCAL SOURCES	_	2004		2003
	Tax Levy	ď	177 222	•	150 040
-		\$	177,322	\$	172,010
1	STATE SOURCES				
	State of Michigan Operating Grants				
	Local Bus Operating Assistance (Act 51)				
	Prior Year Adjustment - 1999	\$	(7,015)	\$	0
	2003	Ψ	(7,013)	Ф	0 391,786
	2004		416,701		
	Reverse Commute/Project Zero		212,726		114.024
	Grant Administrative Fees		0		114,034
_					258
	Total State Sources	\$	622,412	\$	506,078
_	FEDERAL SOURCES				
	U.S. Department of Transportation				
_	Operating Grant - Section 5311				
	Contract No. 02-0061/Z10	•	105.500	_	
	Rural Transit Assistance Program	\$	107,523	\$	97,947
_	Reverse Commute		3,819		2,572
	Grant Administrative Fees		62,726		114,033
			0		257
-	Total Federal Sources	\$	174,068	\$	214,809
	OTHER	•			
_	Interest Income				
	Bus Advertising	\$	22,018	\$	34,807
	Maintenance Service		0		162
	Gain on Sale of Assets		11,701		11,422
	Cam on Sale of Assets	_	0		6,002
_	Total Other Sources	\$	33,719	\$	52,393
	TOTAL NONOPERATING REVENUES	•	1,007,521		
_		Ψ=	1,007,341	\$	945,290

SCHEDULE OF FEDERAL AND STATE GRANTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2004

OPERATING ASSISTANCE		DUE FROM TO) STATE 10-1-03	ADJ	USTMENTS		EARNED		
Operating Assistance 1999	\$	(9,632)	\$	7,015	\$	0		
2000		7,301		0	·	0		
2001		(3,185)		0		0		
2002		(120,500)		0		ő		
2003		(24,025)		0		0		
2004		0		0		416,701		
Reverse Commute 01-0445		40,355		0		0		
Reverse Commute 02-0061/Z5		16,070		0		125,452		
Project Zero 02-0061/Z9	_	0		0		150,000		
	\$_	(93,616)	\$	7,015	\$	692,153		
Federal Section 5311								
01-0049	Φ.	10 64 6	_					
02-0061	\$	10,616	\$	0	\$	0		
2002-0061/Z4		(908)		0		0		
2002-0061/Z10		6,627		0		0		
RTAP-2002-03		1.525		0		107,523		
RTAP-2003-04		1,525		0		319		
	\$ —	17.860	<u> </u>	0		3,500		
	Φ_	17,860	\$	0		111,342		
Total Operating Assistance	\$_	(75,756)	\$	7,015	_\$	803,495		
CONTRIBUTED EQUITY								
Capital Grant								
2002-0061/Z3	\$	0	\$	0	\$	619.769		
2002-0061/Z7	Ψ	0	Ψ	0	Þ	618,768		
2001-0546		28,015		0		645,539 5,596		
2002-0061/Z8		0		0		278,688		
2002-0061/Z11		0		0		306,956		
Total Contributed Equity						300,730		
Total Contributed Equity	\$	28,015	\$	0	\$	1,855,547		
TOTAL FEDERAL AND								
STATE GRANTS	\$	(47,741)	\$	7,015	\$	2,659,042		

		RECEIVED	I	OUE FROM
		NET OF	(TO) STATE
	(R	EPAYMENTS)		9-30-04
_	\$	(13,870)	\$	(2,777)
		0		7,301
		0		(3,185)
-		(124,243)		3,743
		0		(24,025)
		413,497		3,204
		40,355		0
		53,278		88,244
		150,000		0
	\$	519,017	\$	72,505
	Ф	10.616	_	
	\$	10,616	\$	0
_		0		(908)
-		6,268		359
		95,232		12,291
_		1,844		0
		3,500		0
	\$ _	117,460	\$	11,742
-	\$ _	636,477	\$_	84,247
-				
	\$	618,768	\$	0
-		645,539		0
		33,611		0
		204,661		74,027
_		0		306,956
	e -	1 500 550		
	\$_	1,502,579		380,983
-				
	\$ _	2,139,056	\$	465,230

SCHEDULE OF EXPENSES BY CONTRACT AND GENERAL OPERATIONS FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2004

		REVERSE							
_]	RTAP		COMMUTE		OPERATIONS		TOTAL
	Labor Fringe Benefits Services Materials and Supplies Utilities Casualty and Liability Costs Miscellaneous Expenses Leases and Rentals Property Taxes	\$	0 0 0 0 0 0 3,819 0	\$	97,801 34,902 88,652 18,984 4,143 14,983 2,216 12,357 1,413	\$	526,741 187,000 37,729 75,591 18,604 73,784 7,778 57,795 5,445	\$	624,542 221,902 126,381 94,575 22,747 88,767 13,813 70,152 6,858
_	Depreciation		0		0		153,041	_	153,041
	TOTAL EXPENSES	\$	3,819	\$	275,451	\$	1,143,508	\$	1,422,778

NET ELIGIBLE COSTS COMPUTATIONS OF GENERAL OPERATIONS FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2004

_			FEDERAL		STATE
		S	ECTION 5311	(PERATING
		F	PROJECT NO.	Α	SSISTANCE
_			02-0061/Z10		(ACT 51)
•	Operating Expenses				
	Labor	\$	526,741	\$	526,741
-	Fringe Benefits		187,000		187,000
1	Services		37,729		37,729
	Materials and Supplies		75,591		75,591
_	Utilities		18,604		18,604
3	Casualty and Liability Costs		73,784		73,784
	Miscellaneous Expenses		7,778		7,778
	Leases and Rentals		57,795		57,795
	Property Taxes		5,445		5,445
	Depreciation		153,041		153,041
_			133,041		133,041
	Total Expense	\$	1,143,508	\$	1,143,508
_	Less Ineligible Expenses				
	Depreciation	\$	146,552	\$	146,552
	MPTA Dues	·	79	•	79
	Maintenance on County Vehicles		10,291		10,291
	Audit Fees		4,637		0
_		•	7,037		<u> </u>
	Total Ineligible Expenses	\$	161,559	\$	156,922
					
	Eligible Expenses	\$ _	981,949	\$	986,586
	Federal				
-	Section 5311 Reimbursement (10.95%)	¢	107.522		
	2 ************************************	\$ =	107,523		
	State Statutory Operating Assistance				
_	42.236619648% of Eligible Operating Expenses			\$	416 701
	But at Least a Minimum of Its:			= ء	416,701
	1997 Floor of \$344,527				
_	1777 1 1001 01 \$344,327				

SCHEDULE OF MILEAGE DATA FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2004

(UNAUDITED)

As required by Michigan Department of Transportation, the following schedule details the mileage data for the year ended September 30, 2004. This supplemental data was not audited and, accordingly, we do not express an opinion on it. However, the methodology used for compiling mileage has been reviewed and found to be an adequate and reliable method for recording vehicle mileage.

-	TOTAL PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION
DEMAND-RESPONSE Quarter Ended:	MILEAGE
December 31, 2003 March 31, 2004 June 30, 2004 September 30, 2004	70,045 76,816 70,332 67,724
TOTAL	284,917

SCHEDULE OF VEHICLE HOURS AND PASSENGERS FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2004 AND 2003

(UNAUDITED)

September 30, 200	<u>)4</u>					
					SENIOR	
_				HANDI-	HANDI-	
	VEHICLE	REGULAR	SENIOR	CAPPED	CAPPED	TOTAL
	HOURS	PASSENGER	PASSENGER	PASSENGER	PASSENGER	PASSENGERS
First Quarter	5,920	18,806	4,979	11,573	1,343	36,701
Second Quarte	er 6,632	22,197	4,913	12,008	1,215	40,333
Third Quarter	5,937	17,513	4,773	11,764	1,273	35,323
Fourth Quarter	5,499	11,697	5,591	10,908	1,282	29,478
_						
	23,988	70,213	20,256	46,253	5,113	141,835
Cambanul 20, 200	2					
September 30, 200	<u>3</u>					
					SENIOR	
				HANDI-	HANDI-	
_	VEHICLE	REGULAR	SENIOR	CAPPED	CAPPED	TOTAL
	HOURS	PASSENGER	PASSENGER	PASSENGER	PASSENGER	PASSENGERS
 .						
First Quarter	5,631	19,337	5,707	11,305	882	37,231
Second Quarte	r 5,751	18,639	5,584	10,653	900	35,776
Third Quarter	5,608	15,558	5,295	10,581	1,282	32,716
Fourth Quarter	5,491	13,088	5,497	9,973	1,383	29,941
_	22,481	66,622	22,083	42,512	4,447	135,664

FAX: 231-775-9749 M. WAYNE BEATTIE, C.P.A. 1902 - 1990 JACK H. BAIRD, C.P.A.

Baird, Cotter and Bishop, P.C.

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

JOHN H. BISHOP, C.P.A.

ROBERT V. BEATTIE, C.P.A.

DOUGLAS P. McMULLEN, C.P.A.

JOHN F. TAYLOR, C.P.A.

STEVEN C. ARENDS, C.P.A.

SCOTT A. HUNTER, C.P.A.

JONATHAN E. DAMHOF, C.P.A.

MICHAEL D. COOL, C.P.A.

November 12, 2004

REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

To the Board of Directors Ludington Mass Transportation Authority Ludington, Michigan

We have audited the financial statements of the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the Ludington Mass Transportation Authority as of and for the year ended September 30, 2004, which collectively comprise the Ludington Mass Transportation Authority's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated November 12, 2004. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Compliance

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Ludington Mass Transportation Authority's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Ludington Mass Transportation Authority's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting. However, we noted certain matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be reportable conditions. Reportable conditions involve matters coming to our attention relating to significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the internal control over financial reporting that, in our judgment, could adversely affect the Ludington Mass Transportation Authority's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data consistent with the assertions of management in the financial statements. Reportable conditions are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

A material weakness is a reportable condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements caused by error or fraud in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be reportable conditions and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all reportable conditions that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, we believe the reportable condition described above is a material weakness.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the management, the Transit Board and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

BAIRD, COTTER AND BISHOP, P.C.

Bail Cotter : Bushop PC

FAX: 231-775-9749 M. WAYNE BEATTIE, C.P.A. 1902 - 1990 JACK H. BAIRD, C.P.A.

Baird, Cotter and Bishop, P.C.

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

JOHN H. BISHOP, C.P.A.

ROBERT V. BEATTIE, C.P.A.

DOUGLAS P. McMULLEN, C.P.A.

JOHN F. TAYLOR, C.P.A.

STEVEN C. ARENDS, C.P.A.

SCOTT A. HUNTER, C.P.A.

JONATHAN E. DAMHOF, C.P.A.

MICHAEL D. COOL, C.P.A.

November 12, 2004

REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133

To the Board of Directors
Ludington Mass Transportation Authority
Ludington, Michigan

We have audited the compliance of the Ludington Mass Transportation Authority with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-1333 Compliance Supplement that are applicable to its major federal program for the year ended September 30, 2004. The Ludington Mass Transportation Authority's major federal program is identified in the Summary of Auditors' Results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to its major federal program is the responsibility of the Ludington Mass Transportation Authority's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Ludington Mass Transportation Authority's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the Ludington Mass Transportation Authority's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the Ludington Mass Transportation Authority's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, the Ludington Mass Transportation Authority complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to its major federal program for the year ended September 30, 2004.

Internal Control Over Compliance

The management of the Ludington Mass Transportation Authority is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Ludington Mass Transportation Authority's internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on the internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133.

Our consideration of the internal control over compliance would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that noncompliance with applicable requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants caused by error or fraud. That would be material in relation to a major federal program being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over compliance and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

We have audited the financial the financial statements of the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the Ludington Mass Transportation Authority, as of and for the year ended September 30, 2004, and have issued our report thereon dated November 12, 2004. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the Ludington Mass Transportation Authority's basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the management, the Transit Board and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

BAIRD, COTTER AND BISHOP, P.C.

Bain, Cotter: Bishop PC

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2004

	FEDERAL GRANTOR/PASS-THROUGH GRANTOR/PROGRAM TITLE	FEDERAL CFDA NUMBER	STATE GRANTOR NUMBER	FEDERAL EXPENDITURES	
	U.S. Department of Transportation				
	Federal Transit Capital Investment Grants				
_	Pass-through Program from:				
	Michigan Department of Transportation				
	Facility Construction	20.500	2002-0061 Z3	\$	495,014
	Facility Construction	20.500	2002-0061 Z7	•	516,431
	Facility Construction	20.500	2002-0061 Z11		245,565
-	Facility Construction	20.500	2001-0546		4,477
	Total Assistance			\$	1,261,487
	Non Urbanized Area Formula Program				
	Pass-through Program from:				
	Michigan Department of Transportation				
	Operating Assistance - Section 5311	20.509	2002-0061 Z10	\$	107,523
	RTAP Training Expense - 2003	20.509	RTAP03		319
•	RTAP Training Expense - 2004	20.509	RTAP04		3,500
	Small Cities and Surface Transportation				ŕ
-	Program - Capital Assistance	20.509	2002-0061 Z8		222,951
	Total Assistance		-	\$	334,293
•	Job Access Reverse Commute				
	Pass-through Program from:				
	Michigan Department of Transportation				
	Operating Assistance - Section 3037	20.516	2002-0061 Z5	\$	62,726
_			_	-	
	TOTAL FEDERAL FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE		_	\$	1,658,506

LUDINGTON MASS TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2004

NOTE A - BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards includes the federal grant activity of the Ludington Mass Transportation Authority and is presented on the accrual basis of accounting. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations.

LUDINGTON MASS TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2004

There were no prior year findings and questioned costs.

LUDINGTON MASS TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2004

SUMMARY OF AUDITORS' RESULTS

- 1. The auditors' report expresses an unqualified opinion on the financial statements of the Ludington Mass Transportation Authority, Ludington, Michigan.
- 2. A reportable condition was disclosed during the audit of the financial statements. The reportable condition (see below) was considered to be a material weakness.
- 3. No instances of noncompliance material to the financial statements of the Ludington Mass Transportation Authority which would be required to be reported in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, were disclosed during the audit.
- 4. No reportable conditions were disclosed during the audit of internal control over the major federal award program.
- 5. The auditors' report on compliance for the major federal award program of the Ludington Mass Transportation Authority expresses an unqualified opinion on the major federal program.
- 6. There are no audit findings relative to the major federal award program for the Ludington Mass Transportation Authority.
- 7. The programs tested as major programs were Federal Transit-Capital Investment Grants, CFDA No. 2.500 and Non-Urbanized Area Formula Program, CFDA No. 20.509.
- 8. The threshold used to distinguish between a Type A and B program was \$300,000.
- 9. Ludington Mass Transportation Authority did not qualify as a low-risk auditee.

FINDINGS - FINANCIAL STATEMENT AUDIT

The relatively small number of people involved in the accounting functions of the Authority make it difficult to adequately segregate duties. Segregation of accounting duties is a fundamental method of strengthening internal control. However, in deciding what internal control procedures should be implemented, the Board must consider the costs of implementing them and weigh those costs against the benefits to be derived from their implementation. We realize that it is not financially practical for the Board to establish a larger accounting staff in order to implement proper segregation of duties. This reportable condition was considered to be a material weakness.

A material weakness is a reportable condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control structure elements does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that noncompliance with laws and regulations that would be material to a federal financial assistance program may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions.

LUDINGTON MASS TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2004

FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS - MAJOR FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAM AUDIT

1.	There were no	findings	relative to	the major	federal	award	program	audit.
----	---------------	----------	-------------	-----------	---------	-------	---------	--------

134 WEST HARRIS STREET CADILLAC, MICHIGAN 49601 231-775-9789 FAX: 231-775-9749

M. WAYNE BEATTIE, C.P.A. 1902 - 1990 JACK H. BAIRD, C.P.A. JERRY L. COTTER, C.P.A.

Baird, Cotter and Bishop, P. C.

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

November 12, 2004

JOHN H. BISHOP, C.P.A

DALE D. COTTER, C.P.A.

ROBERT V. BEATTIE, C.P.A.

DOUGLAS P. McMULLEN, C.P.A.

JOHN F. TAYLOR, C.P.A.

STEVEN C. ARENDS, C.P.A.

SCOTT A. HUNTER. C.P.A

JONATHAN E. DAMHOF, C.P.A. MICHAEL D. COOL, C.P.A.

Ludington Mass Transportation Authority Ludington, Michigan 49431

Dear Board Members:

We have completed our audit of the Ludington Mass Transportation Authority financial statements, and are communicating to you our comments and recommendations for the year ended September 30, 2004. The following comments and recommendations are to be reviewed and considered when the Authority is implementing organizational and procedural changes.

I. New Accounting Pronouncement

In June 1999, the GASB issued Statement No. 34, Basic Financial Statements - and Management's Discussion and Analysis - for State and Local Governments. Certain of the significant changes in the Statement include the following:

- A Management Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) section providing an analysis of the Authority's overall financial position and results of operations.
- Financial statements prepared using full accrual accounting for all of the Authority's activities.
- A change in the fund financial statements to focus on the major funds.

The implementation date of the pronouncement is dependent on the size of the governmental unit. The Ludington Mass Transportation Authority was required to implement these changes for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2004.

II. Michigan Transit Pool

For its property and casualty insurance coverage, the Transit is a participant in the public entity risk pool operated by the Michigan Transit Pool. For the year ended September 30, 2004, there was a \$17,152 increase in the premium previously charged by the risk pool. In addition, there exists the potential of approximately \$34,000 additional retrospective billings from the Michigan Transit Pool related to the 2002 and 2003 fiscal years. The Michigan Transit Pool is hopeful some of the potential claims will settle positively, which will reduce the amount due.

III. Single Audit Report

For the year ended September 30, 2004, we were required to perform a financial and compliance audit of federally funded projects. This reporting is necessary when the authority receives over \$500,000 in federal funds in any given year. This report did not disclose any findings or questioned cost.

IV. Reportable Condition on Internal Control

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements of the Ludington Mass Transportation Authority for the year ended September 30, 2004, we considered its internal control structure in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements and not to provide assurance on the internal control structure. However, we noted a certain matter that we consider to be a reportable condition under standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Reportable conditions involve matters coming to our attention relating to significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the internal control structure that, in our judgment, could adversely affect the organization's ability to record, process, summarize, and report financial data consistent with the assertions of management in the financial statements.

The relatively small number of people involved in the accounting functions of the Authority make it difficult to adequately segregate duties. Segregation of accounting duties is a fundamental method of strengthening internal control. However, in deciding what internal control procedures should be implemented, the Board must consider the costs of implementing them and weigh those costs against the benefits to be derived from their implementation.

A material weakness is a reportable condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control structure elements does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that errors or irregularities in amounts that would be material to the financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions.

Our consideration of the internal control structure would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control structure that might be reportable conditions and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all reportable conditions that are also considered to be material weaknesses as defined above. We believe that the condition described above, is a material weakness.

We wish to thank the Board for their continued confidence in our firm, and the administration and staff for their cooperation and assistance in helping us complete this engagement. If you have any questions relative to the preceding comments and recommendations, or other areas of your annual audit, please feel free to contact us.

BAIRD, COTTER AND BISHOP, P. C.

Bail Cotter : Bishop PC